PISA

By arvin arvin

JME

SAILING CONTEXT IN PISA LIKE MATHEMATICS PROBLEM

Arvin Efriani[1], Ratu Ilma Indra Putri[*2], dan Hapizah[2]

[1]Magister Program of Mathematics Education, Sriwijaya University, Jalan Srijaya Negara, Bukit Besar, Palembang, Indonesia
[2]Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sriwijaya University, Jalan Srijaya Negara, Bukit Besar, Palembang, Indonesia
Email: arvinefriani@gmail.com

Abstract

Developing PISA like mathematics problems using daily life context helps to improve the quality of learning. This study aimed to generate a valid, practical and having potential effects to mathematics literacy ability PISA like mathematics problem with the context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games. This research involved 3 expert reviews and 32 fifteen-years-old tenth grade students in SMAN 10 Palembang as the research subjects. This study used the design research method of development studies type. The data were collected from documentation, walkthrough, tests, and interviews. The problem developed in this study is related to the length of wood required to span the sail. The results showed that the problem 1) was valid viewed from its compatibility with the PISA framework, in which it had related the problems with daily life context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games, as well as the space and shape content, 2) was practical viewed from students' understanding of the problem, 3) had potential effects when tested in learning issue of mathematical literacy ability. The dominant ability showed from the problem was the reasoning and representation ability, while the communication ability was still low because the answer written by students was not detail.

Keywords: Mathematics literacy, PISA, Design Research

Abstrak

Mengembangkan soal matematika tipe PISA menggunakan konteks yang berhubungan dengan kehidupan sehari-hari dapat membantu meningkatkan kualitas pembelajaran. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menghasilkan soal matematika tipe PISA dengan konteks cabang olahraga layar pada Asian Games 2018 yang valid, praktis dan memiliki efek potensial terhadap kemampuan literasi matematika. Penelitian ini melibatkan expert review dan 32 siswa yang berusia 15 tahun kelas X SMAN 10 Palembang sebagai subjek penelitian. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah design research tipe development study. Teknik pengumpulan data terdiri dari dokumentasi, walkthrough, tes dan wawancara. Soal yang dikembangkan berhubungan dengan panjang kayu yang dibutuhkan untuk membentangkan layar. Hasil penelitian menyatakan bahwa soal yang dikembangkan telah 1) valid dilihat dari kesesuaiannya dengan framework PISA yaitu telah menghubungkan permasalahan dengan kehidupan sehari-hari dengan menggunakan konteks cabang olahraga layar pada Asian Games 2018 dan konten space and shape, 2) praktis dilihat dari pemahaman siswa terhadap soal yang dikembangkan, 3) memiliki efek potensial ketika diujicobakan dalam pembelajaran memunculkan kemampuan literasi matematis. Kemampuan dominan yang muncul dari soal yang dikembangkan adalah kemampuan penalaran dan representasi sedangkan kemampuan komunikasi masih rendah disebabkan oleh jawaban yang dituliskan siswa tidak dituliskan secara rinci.

Kata kunci: Literasi Matematika, PISA, Desain Research

Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) is an international study which is conducted every 3 years to measure literacy ability of 15 years old students (OECD, 2016). Since 2000, Indonesian students have been participated in PISA test but they did not get good results. The score obtained by Indonesian students especially in mathematical literacy is only around 350 - 400, which is still far from 500 as the average international PISA score. It occurs because Indonesian students are only able to answer the problems in the low category and almost no student can answer the problems

that need high level of thinking (Stacey, 2011). Therefore, the unavailability of problems that measure mathematical literacy ability causes the students not be able to answer the PISA problems (Lutfianto 2013, Pulungan, 2014).

In fact, mathematical literacy is needed by everyone in facing the problems in life because it is mostly used to help people to understand the role and usefulness of mathematics in daily life (Stacey, 2012). Furthermore, literacy ability also presents concepts that can add the mindset of students and teachers (Sturgeon, 2018). The mindset is trained through the process of literacy, especially mathematical literacy by formulating, applying and interpreting the problems. This is consistent with the definition of mathematical literacy that *"Mathematical literacy is an individual's capacity to formulate, employ, and interpret mathematics in a variety of contexts ..."*. Based on this definition, literacy also uses context in its problems. The context used is adapted to the development of the 21st century (OECD, 2015).

Moreover, the NCTM (2014) states that using the context of the real world is expected to be a stepping stone in understanding the concept of mathematics. However, the context used in the PISA problem is still strange to the students because it is still related to the foreign context (Sasongko, 2016). In addition, students are also difficult in turning problems into mathematical problems (Wijaya, 2014). In fact, contextual learning provides mindset to the students that mathematics is not only about counting but what is learned in mathematics can also be useful in life (Widiati, 2015).

The use of context is one of the characteristics of the Pendekatan Matematika Realistik Indonesia (PMRI) adopted from the Realistic Mathematic Education (RME) which emphasizes the meaningfulness of mathematical concepts in the form of problems. Context can be applied in the form of a problem that is seen as an important aspect of mathematics learning (Chapman, 2006). The problems can be in the form of the development of a PISA like problem designed by the teacher so that it can be applied in the classroom learning (Zulkardi, 2010). Based on the study from Putri (2015), giving problem in the form of test with PMRI approach can make students happy and challenged to answer them so that an optimal learning result of mathematics can be achieved. Felthon (2014) and Putri (2015) suggest that the context applied in classroom learning should use the context which is close to the student. Therefore, one of the contexts that can be used is the context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games.

The 2018 Asian Games is a sports competition held every four years which aims to enhance and strengthen the friendship between Asian countries. The Asian Games is also referred as the energy of Asia because it shows the spirit of unity and sports achievement in Asia. Jakarta and Palembang will be the host of the 2018 Asian Games. One of the sports is sailing. Sailing is a part of the aquatic sports that contributes a lot of medals so that it becomes a mainstay sports at the 2018 Asian Games (www.asiangames2018.id). By using sports as a context of the developed problem will make students prefer maths and also remember the event of Asian Games 2018 (www.sumselpostonline.com). In accordance with the study from Gunawan, Nasution, Rahayu, and Roni (2017) about the design of

learning mathematics using sport context in the 2018 Asian Games, using this context can help students understand mathematics learning. Therefore, this article will discuss about the developed problem using the context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games.

METHOD

This study was a design research of development study. The stages conducted in this study were preliminary and prototyping (formative evaluation) which included self evaluation, expert reviews, one to one, small group, and field test (Tessemer, 1993; Zulkardi, 2002).

In the preliminary stage, the writers determined the subject and the place of the study, and analyzed the subject matter including the curriculum as well as the PISA problems that would be developed to produce the draft. The next stage was the formative evaluation started from the self evaluation. A draft that had been developed to produce prototype I was reviewed; then, piloted at the expert reviews and one to one stages.

In expert review stage, prototype 1 was validated by experts. The validation process was conducted in three ways, namely face to face review, mails review, and focus group (Tessemer, 1993). Along with the expert review, one to one stage was done with three students having various capabilities. Students were asked to read, examine, and give feedback about the legibility and clarity of the problem. Suggestions and comments from experts and students were taken into consideration to revise prototype I in order to produce prototype II. Then, prototype II was tested in the small group stage.

In small group stage, the problem was tested to six students having various abilities. They were asked for their opinions and comments about the problem they had been working on. This stage focused on knowing the practicality of the problem. Students' suggestions and comments in the small group stage were taken into consideration to revise the prototype II to produce prototype III. Next, prototype III was tested in the field test stage.

In the field test stage, the problem was tested to 32 tenth grade students of class X MIA 1 SMA Negeri 10 Palembang to see its potential effects to the mathematical literacy ability. The data collection techniques used in this study was: (1) documentation, (2) walkthrough, (3) test, and (4) interview. The data from the expert review, one to one comments, student answers and interviews were analyzed descriptively.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this research are 12 questions of PISA like mathematics problems with the context of sport in Asian Games. This article only discusses one of developed PISA like problem with the context of sailing. In the preliminary stage, the writers determined the 15-year-old students of

SMA Negeri 10 Palembang as the research subjects and the content material about space and shape for the problem. The content was based on the 2013 curriculum and it can be related to the context of sailing in Asian Games. Then, the PISA problems from 2000 to 2012 were collected. The problem was made with the reference to the existing PISA problems and the developed one.

The reference problem used in this study is the 2012 PISA problem with the context of sailing ship. The problem asked to determine the length of the rope required when the angle and height of the sail are known. Then, the writers paraphrased the problem by changing this adopted problem from the Bairac method (2005) into determining the height of the wood required to tie the sail in the sailing ship. The purpose of this problem is to make students understand that in order to span the sail to catch the wind, the sail must be attached to the wooden pole; therefore, they will know how long the wood is needed to tie the sail.

The next stage is the preliminary stage that began with the self-evaluation stage. At this stage, the writers evaluated and examined the draft of the PISA-like problem. The developed problem was related to the context of sailing as a sport. The type of the problem was a closed constructed response. It was predicted to be in level 4 because it corresponded to the characteristics of the PISA problem shown by making the model implicit in concrete but complex situations, selecting and combining different representations including symbolizing and relating to real situations, as well as using good skill development and presenting flexible reason and perspective according to the context. At this stage, the problem was in accordance with the PISA framework, so there was no revision. The problem at this stage was called prototype I.

The prototype I validation process was done via mail review. Experts who acted as the validators were (1) Dr. Ross Turner (E1), the director of the Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER) and a MEG PISA staff, (2) Prof. Kaye Stacey (E2), the Chairman of MEG PISA from University of Melbourne, Australia and (3) Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzan, M.Sc (E3), a lecturer in mathematics at the State University of Padang. Along with the expert review stage, one to one stage was done with students who had various abilities (high (O1), medium (O2), and low (O3)). The comments and suggestions from the experts and the students in prototype I are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Comments and Suggestions from Experts and Student

Code	Comments and Suggestions
E1	- Describe the situation clearly, maintain the prerequisites for students to interpret and transform information into a mathematical form - Use rubric from PISA assesment by using a range of answers - Add the abilities of mathematizing, using language, formal and technical operational and simbolic language, as well as problem solving.
E2	- Reasoning and argumentation abilities in the problem is good, in which it has been analyzed carefully - Less information about the length of the base of ship to the base of sail
E3	- Revise the spacing in the problems - The problems may raise students' different perceptions related to the sail size
O2	- The student had correctly put the information about the picture, but he was still confused

Code	Comments and Suggestions
	with the phrase "the length side cloth under the pole"
	- Students predict the length of the pole from the base only based on the image without thinking about the wood that is plugged in because the information about the problem is not given

This comments were taken into consideration to revise prototype I. Based on the validation process at the expert review and one to one stages, the problem was maintained with some revisions namely (1) adding information "to span the sail, a wood is put in the ship pole" which is useful as guidelines for determining the answer, (2) replacing the question "the height of the wood" into "the length of wood needed to span the sail". This question gave a benefit for student so that they did not only calculate the length of the wood but they also knew that the sail could not be unfolded if it did not have the crossing wood, (3) changing the scoring rubric based on the PISA assessment rubric by adding a range of answers. Based on experts' suggestion which says that many students would use the right method but would not get the right results indicated the need of the range for various answers, and (4) revising the mathematical literacy ability indicators based on the steps of work. The results of this revision was called prototype 2.

Furthermore, the problem in prototype 2 was tested in a small group stage on November 7, 2017. First, students were given the problem to be solved individually. During this process, the students with high, medium and low abilities had understood the purpose of the problem by trying to find the solution by writing down what were known from the problem. Some students got the answer by using only one step, while others used some steps to get the answers. Next, the students formed small groups to discuss the problem. In the small groups, they determined the solutions based on the answers they got individually. The students got the same answers from various ways. Because the problem can be answered by all students without any obstacles, the writers consulted it with the advisor. The advisor suggested to change the information of the problem. The previous problem asked student to answer the lenght of the wood needed to span the sail if the height of the wood and the area of sail were known, while the revised problem asked students to answer the length of the wood needed to span the sail if the three sides of the sail were known as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Prototype 3

The next stage was the field test held on November 9, 2017. After the students finished with the

problem, thewriters interviewed them in order to explore the potential effects of the problem. One of the students' answers is correct as shown in Figure 2.

|		P: Why you used this formula?
Write the process to get solution		S: Because, to find the length of this wood I must know the length both of this (student pointed the picture)
Using understanding context		
Connection and using various representation		P: Where you got this formula?
Using strategic		S: From course
Using formal form based on definition and rule		P: Do you know the origin of this formula?
Connection the information which got before		S: I don't know
Only write the solution		

Figure 2. Correct Student Answer

From the answers shown in Figure 2, the student wrote the problem into a mathematical statement by imagining the representation from the information given. Using the figure she had made, she symbolized the figure herself to make it easier to find the solutions. As shown in Figure 2, she gave symbols A, B, C, and D. Then, she chose a strategy by relating to the various equations that had been made. Finally, she got the last equation of $AD^2 = DC.DB$, in which the symbol AD was the length of the wood needed to span the sail asked in the problem. Although the students' answer was correct, the writers also interviewed her related to how she got the answer using the formula.

Based on the above interview, the student said she found the solutions using the formula which she got from her course outside the school. However, she did not know the origin of the formula that she used. The literacy ability involved by the student was that she could write the process of achieving the solution completely. She used the image representation by making the figure by herself to make it easier for her to find the solution. Next, she related the previous information about the length of the three sides of the sail consecutively which is 2, 3, and $\sqrt{13}$ by writing them on the figure she made before. Then, she used the strategy based on the definition and the existing rule of the equation $AD^2 = DC.DB$ to find the solution. However, before getting the length of AD, she found the length of DC and DB from the information that she got before. Once the solution was obtained, she should have been able to conclude the solution but she only wrote down the solution without any conclusion. The answer was correct with different algorithms as shown in Figure 3.

		P: Why you used the right triangle area formula? While the figure and information have not shown that the right triangle!
Write the process to get solution		
Using understanding context		S: It easy. That's right, there is no information about right triangle but i can proof that if it is right angle using the information (student proof the right triangle)
Connecting and using various representation		
Using formal form based on definition and rule		P: Why it has two triangle area while in the figure just has one triangle?
Connecting information which got before		S: Of course, in the figure only has one triangle but if we look from different corner, it has two
Using strategic		
Only write the result		

Figure 3 Correct Student Answer with different algorithm

From the answer shown in Figure 3, the student responded by using the area of the right triangle. The image representation form was divided into two triangles then he used the equation of area of triangle 1 equals the area of triangle 2. However, the writers investigated the reason why the student used the area of right triangle to find the answer.

Based on the above interview, the student knew that the triangle was a right triangle so that it could be calculated by using the area of a triangle. The triangle was viewed from two different corners so that by using the comparison between the two triangular areas, he got the length of wood needed to span the sail.

From the mathematical literacy ability involved, student could write down the process of getting a complete solution by relating the previous information about the side of the sail which was 2, 3, and $\sqrt{13}$ and use various representations namely the image representation by making the figure of the sail and symbol representation by using triangle symbol. Furthermore, he used a strategy based on the existing definitions and rules stated that calculating the area of a triangle can be done with two different corners but the reason was only found out from the interview. Then, the student got the answer of $\frac{6\sqrt{13}}{13}$ Student only wrote the result without any explanation. This is in accordance with Hasratuddin's opinion (2010) that students solve a problem only by finding solutions then operating it, but they do not provide any explanation of the solution. The process often occurs because the learning of mathematics pays less attention to students' mathematical communication ability (Saragih & Rahmiyana, 2013). In fact, the student had the potential to explain the reasons why he used the right triangle formula. Moreover, his incorrect answer is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 Incorrect Student Answer

From the answer shown in Figure 4, the student used the area of a triangle when the three sides were known. However, this answer did not match with what the question wanted since it asked for the length of wood needed to span the sail, while the student determined the area of sail. Therefore, his answer did not get any score (no credit). From the answer that he made, the writers interviewed him to find out the reasons why he determined the length of wood needed by using the area formula. The interview between the student and the writers is shown as follow.

P : what is the problem that being ask?
S : the length of wood
P : why you used the area of triangle formula?
S : because based on the formula area of triangle, if it is known three side of screen, it can used this formula
P : is it the length of wood same with the area of triangle?
S : its different
S: why you still used this formula
P : because i just know formula of area if it is know three side of the screen

Based on the interview above, the student understood what was known in the problem by translating the problem into the mathematical statement using the image representation. However, he was wrong in the use of the information provided. He used the formula of triangle area to calculate the length of the sail due to the lack of the prerequisite knowledge about the use of the area formula. From the mathematical literacy ability involved, he had tried to write the process of getting the solution, but the representation of the contextual understanding was not related to the desired question. The strategy used was also not appropriate with the problem which asked to determine the length of wood needed to span the sail instead of determining the area of the triangle. Therefore, he could not relate the information and conclude the solution. The mistake happened when he decided the strategy to determine the solution. In fact, the ability to settle the implementation plan is the core of the problem-solving stage (Hapizah, 2016) Therefore, if the student could not determine the right strategy in planning the settlement, it would cause an error in solving the problem.

The field test results showed that out of 32 students only 10 students obtained full credit, 13

students obtained partial credit, and 9 students obtained no credit. The mathematical literacy ability involving the 32 students are:

Communication ability with the indicator to write the process in achieving the solution showed 10 students writing it completely and correctly, and 5 students writing it incorrectly. Whereas, the indicator concludes the mathematical result obtained that none of the students concluded correctly and completely, and 9 students did not conclude. The mistake happened because the students did not read the data from the information in the problem carefully, so they were wrong in transforming the information into the calculation. The students placed the order of the sail side size in reverse. In addition, the students did not use to writing down the stages along with the explanations about the process of finding the solutions because they only focused on getting the answer.

The mathematical ability with the indicators of using context comprehension to solve mathematical problems showed 10 students could use the understanding of the process to problem-solving appropriately and 9 students could not. This ability is a basic ability that students must have because they must be able to understand the context first before trying to find a solution to get the right solution. This is in accordance with the opinion of Sudarman (2010) who states that students can be said to understand the problem if they are able to disclose data that are known and asked from the problem given.

Representation ability with the indicator to use and connect various representations in problem solving showed 10 students could use the representation completely and correctly and 5 students did not use it correctly. The students' mistake occured when they represented the figure and wrote the information of the figure. They made a mistake in putting the existing information which later caused a mistake in the calculation process.

The reasoning and argument ability with the indicator of relating the previously available information to find the solution showed 10 students could use reasoning correctly and 9 students did not use reasoning in finding the solution. To get the right solutions, students must be good at relating the previous available information. This happened because the problems with the sail context was a high level problem which needed more reasoning (Sulastri, 2014). In addition, with good reasoning, students can also solve problems well (Hapizah, 2014).

The ability of choosing strategies to solve problems with the indicator of using strategies through various procedures that lead to solutions and conclusions showed 9 students could choose the strategy appropriately and 9 students did not choose the strategy in problem solving. There were many strategies to be used for this problem; however it would only depend on the skills of students to anticipate the relationship. In addition, before students determine the suitable strategy, they must first understand the question of the problem, which is the length of the wood needed to span the sail in which the wood is located between the wooden buffers of the sail.

The ability of using language as well as symbolic, formal and technical operations with the indicator of using formal form based on the definition and mathematical rules showed that 8 students could use it completely and 9 students did not use it correctly.

Based on the above description, the dominant ability arose from the developed problem was the reasoning and representation ability because the students already understood the meaning of the problem well so that students could connect the information of the problem by making the figure repeatedly. However, the communication ability was still low because the students only focused on finding the answers, so they were not accustomed to writing answers in detail. Students actually had potential in developing the mathematical literacy ability. However, this ability was not fully appointed but only as a complement. In fact, this ability was needed to train students in solving problems properly. It is also expressed by Sapitri and Hartono (2015) that basically every student has the potential to the ability of mathematical literacy. However, the students' ability in understanding mathematic is different one another, so the teacher must train students to optimize the ability. Therefore, mathematical literacy ability of students can be extracted by giving the routine problem for them and asking them to solve it by writing down solutions in detail.

CONCLUSION

Based on the problem development process that had been generated, the problem was declared to be valid, practical and had a potential effect on mathematical literacy ability. Valid was assessed from the validator results at the expert review and one to one stage which stated that the problem was good in terms of content, constructs and language. Valid was viewed from its compatibility with the PISA framework that had related it with daily life using the context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games and the content of space and shape. The problem was also considered practical from the small group stage. Practicality was viewed from the students' understanding of the problem. The problem with the context of sailing in the 2018 Asian Games could help student understand the mathematics problems in daily life context. From the problem, students knew that the length of the wood which was used in the sail had the size which could be counted in mathematics. In addition, the problem had a potential effect when piloted in learning of mathematical literacy ability. The dominant ability was reasoning and representation ability because they could understand it well so that they could connect its information by making a figure repeatedly. Whereas, the communication ability was still low because the students only focused on finding the final answer so that they were not accustomed to write the answers in detail.

Based on the results of research and conclusions, it is suggested to develop a PISA mathematics problem with sailing ships context on other content and can also use the equipment and rules in the sport. In addition, the PISA like mathematics problems that have been developed can be given to students on a regular basis to train their math literacy ability.

REFERENCES

Bairac, R. (2005). Some Methods for Composing Mathematical Problems. International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning. (Online), (http://cimt.plymouth.ac.uk/journal/bairac.pdf).

Chapman, O. (2006). Classroom Practices for Context of Mathematics Word Problems. Educational Studies in Mathematics, 62, 211–230. DOI: 10.1007/s10649-006-7834-1.

Felton, M. (2014). Mathematics and the Real World. (Online), (http://www.nctm.org/Publications/Mathematics-Teaching-in-Middle-School/Blog/Mathematics-and-the-Real-World/).

Gunawan, M. S., Putri, R.I.I., Zulkardi. (2017). Learning Fractions through Swimming Context for Elementary School Students. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 100.

Hapizah. (2014). Pengembangan Instrumen Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis Mahasiswa pada Mata Kuliah Persamaan Differensial. Jurnal Kreano, 5(1), ISSN: 2086-2334.

Hapizah. (2016). Kemampuan Mahasiswa Menyelesaikan Soal Problem-Solving Mata Pelajaran Matematika. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran, 23 (2).

Hasratuddin. (2010). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP melalui Pendekatan Matematika Realistik. Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 4(2), 19-33.

Lutfianto, M., Zulkardi & Hartono, Y. (2013). Unfinished Student Answer in PISA Mathematics Contextual problem. Journal on Mathematics Education (IndoMS-JME), 4(2), 188-193.

Nasution, M.F., Putri, R.I.I., Zulkardi. (2017). Dayung Context in Fraction. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 100.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (2014). Principles to Actions: Ensuring Mathematical Success for All. Reston, VA: NCTM.

OECD. (2015). Assesment and Analitycal Framework. Paris: OECD.

OECD. (2016). PISA 2018 Draft Analytical Framework. Paris: OECD.

Pulungan, D., & dkk. (2014). Pengembangan Instrumen Tes Literasi Matematika Model PISA. Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation, 3(2), 74-78.

Putri, R.I.I. (2015). Penilaian dalam pendidikan matematika di Indonesia, Lokal, Nasional dan Internasional. Makalah disampaikan pada rapat senat khusus terbuka Universitas Sriwijaya, Senin, 20 April 2015.

Putri, R.I.I. (2015). Pengaruh Interaksi Pendekatan Pembelajaran Bentuk Tes Formatif terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran, 22 (1).

Rahayu, C., Putri, R.I.I., Zulkardi. (2017). Multipiication of Fraction with Natural Number by Using Hurdles Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 100.

Roni, A., Zulkardi., Putri. R.I.I. (2017). Learning Divisions of Fractions through Sprint Running Pictures. Journal of Education and Learning, 11 (4) 381-393. DOI: 10.11591/edulearn.v11i4.5982.

Sapitri & Hartono. (2015). Keefektifan Cooperative Learning STAD dan GI Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis dan Komunikasi Matematis. Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika, 2(2), 273-283.

Saragih, S. & Rahmiyana. (2013). Peningkatan Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematis Siswa SMA/MA di Kecamatan Simpang Ulim melalui Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe STAD. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, 19(2), 174-188.

Sasongko, T.P.M. (2016). Pengembangan Paket Soal Model PISA konten Space and Shape untuk Mengetahui Literasi Matematika Siswa SMP. Jurnal Edukasi, III (1), 27-32.

Stacey, K. (2011). The PISA View of Mathematical Literacy in Indonesia. Journal on mathematics education (IndoMS-JME), 2(2), 95-126.

Stacey, K. (2012). The International Assessment of Mathematical Literacy: Pisa 2012 Framework and Items. 12th International Congress on Mathematical Education Programe Name XX-YY- zz, 8 July – 15 July, 2012, COEX, Seoul, Korea.

Sturgeon, A. (2018). Why Literacy Should be Included in an Effective Elementary Math Curriculum. EURASIA journal of Mathematics, Science adn Technology Education, 14(2), 557-560.

Sudarman. (2010). Proses Berpikir Siswa SMP berdasarkan Adversity Quotient (AQ) dalam Menyelesaikan Masalah Matematika. Unpublished Dissertation. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.

Sulastri, R., Johar, R., & Munzir, R. (2014). Kemampuan Mahasiswa Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika FKIP Unsyiah Menyelesaikan Soal PISA Most Difficult Level. Jurnal Didaktik Matematik, 1 (2), 13-21.

Sumselpostonline. (2015). Ciptakan Pembelajaran Matematika dengan Asean Games. (Online), (http://sumselpostonline.com/ciptakan-pembelajaran-matematika-dengan-asean-games/).

Tessener, M. (1993). Planning and conducting formative evaluations: improving the quality of education and training. Philadelphia: Kogan page.

Widianti, I. (2015). Mengembangkan Kemampuan Representasi Matematis Siswa Sekolah Menengah Pertama Melalui Pembelajaran Kontekstual. Jurnal Pengajaran MIPA, 20(2), 106-111.

Wijaya, A. (2014). Difficulties in Solving Context-Based (PISA) (Online), (http://eprints.uny.ac.id/24176/1/E-3.pdf).

Zulkardi. (2002). Developing a Learning Environment on Realistic Mahematics Education for Indonesian Student Teachers. Ph.D. Thesis University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherland.

Zulkardi. (2010). PISA, KTSP and UN. Prosiding KNM XV. IndoMS, 53-54. Manado: UNIMA.

PISA

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

12	journal.uny.ac.id Internet	21 words — < 1%
13	R I I Putri, M S Gunawan, Zulkardi. "Addition of fraction in swimming context", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2017 Crossref	20 words — < 1%
14	www.siam.org Internet	19 words — < 1%
15	jurnal.untad.ac.id Internet	18 words — < 1%
16	jurnal.unej.ac.id Internet	18 words — < 1%
17	candra.unsri.ac.id Internet	17 words — < 1%
18	matematika.fkip.unsri.ac.id Internet	15 words — < 1%
19	jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id Internet	15 words — < 1%
20	fpmipa.upi.edu Internet	14 words — < 1%
21	Adrienne Sturgeon. "Why Literacy Should be Included in an Effective Elementary Math Curriculum", Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 2017 Crossref	11 words — < 1%
22	Olive Chapman. "Classroom Practices for Context of Mathematics Word Problems", Educational Studies in Mathematics, 06/2006 Crossref	11 words — < 1%
23	hal.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	

9 words — < 1%

24 www.eera-ecer.de
    Internet
    9 words — < 1%

25 S Arifin, Zulkardi, R I I Putri, Y Hartono, E Susanti. "Developing Ill-defined problem-solving for the context of "South Sumatera"", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2017
    Crossref
    9 words — < 1%

26 Z Zulkardi, A W Kohar. "Designing PISA-Like Mathematics Tasks In Indonesia: Experiences and Challenges", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018
    Crossref
    9 words — < 1%

27 eprints.ums.ac.id
    Internet
    8 words — < 1%

28 staff.uny.ac.id
    Internet
    8 words — < 1%

29 Tini Suhartini, Nanang Khuzaini. "The implementation of indonesia realistic mathematics learning approach (irml) to increase learning acchievement in the discussion painting and Corner splitting subject On the students grade viie smp negeri I seyegan", Jurnal Mercumatika : Jurnal Penelitian Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika, 2017
    Crossref
    8 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES   OFF   EXCLUDE MATCHES   OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY   OFF